

ISSN: 2641-6379

World Journal of Agriculture and Soil Science

DOI: 10.33552/WJASS.2020.05.000611



Opinion

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Sophia Huyer

Going Back to the Well: Women, Agency, and Climate Adaptation

Sophia Huyer^{1*} and Tatiana Gumucio²

¹CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), Brighton, Canada and International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Kenya

²International Research Institute for Climate and Society (IRI), Columbia University, United States

*Corresponding author: Sophia Huyer, CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), PO Box 30709, Nairobi 00100, Kenya.

Received Date: July 11, 2020

Published Date: July 20, 2020

Abstract

Rising temperatures and more extreme weather associated with climate change are expected to exacerbate existing social and gender inequalities across the globe. Climate change has differential effects on women and men: they are exposed to different climate shocks and experience different impacts related to gender differences in roles, rights, and opportunities. Women's knowledge, networks, and assets are a significant aspect of resilience, but little attention is given to enabling their capacity as active agents. Instead the focus is on women as vulnerable victims of climate change. Evidence is emerging that adaptation and mitigation approaches in climate-resilient agriculture can and must reduce women's and men's vulnerabilities, promote their capacities for resilience, support women to exercise their agency, and, consequently, increase gender equality. Not only do we need to implement climate approaches that benefit women, we need to increase women's resilience if we are going to effectively address and mitigate climate impacts. If we don't, we will be on track to miss the 2 degree target – and at same time gender inequality will increase worldwide.

A recent review of literature and regional case studies with researchers from four regions identified the critical dimensions of gender in/equality in climate-resilient agriculture. They are: (1) participation in decision-making at different levels, (2) work burden, (3) access to and use of productive resources such as agroclimatic information, technology, livelihood incomes, and credit, and (4) collective action. Models for action are presented that show how gender-responsive approaches can promote equality while increasing resilience for all.

Keywords: Gender; Climate; Women; Agency; Equality; Equity; Technology; Collective; Decision-making; Workload

Opinion

Rising temperatures and more extreme weather associated with climate change are expected to exacerbate existing social and gender inequalities across the globe [1]. There will potentially be impacts on all aspects of food security, including access and price stability. In rural areas, water availability and supply will be affected with changes in production of both food and non-food crops as growing zones shift [1,2]. Climate change has different effects on women and men, since they are exposed to different climate shocks and experience different impacts related to gender differences in roles, rights, and opportunities [3]. Women's knowledge, networks,

and assets are a significant aspect of resilience [4,5], but little attention is given to enabling their capacity as active agents. Instead the focus is on women as vulnerable victims of climate change, perpetuating stereotypes of women as victims while ignoring the root causes of gender inequalities causing vulnerability [3,6,7].

In a 2 °C (or more)¹ world, gender equality will need to encompass increased resilience, as well as reduced vulnerability, to the impacts of climate change. In this context, vulnerability is a function of exposure to climate risks (such as extreme weather events, losses in agricultural productivity, and alterations in



hydrological patterns), sensitivity to these risks, and capacity to adapt. Resilience is the capacity of communities or households to resist, cope with, or recover from shocks and stresses [8,9].

Increasing evidence is emerging that adaptation and mitigation approaches in climate-resilient agriculture can and must reduce women's and men's vulnerabilities, promote their capacities for resilience, support women to exercise their agency, and, consequently, increase gender equality. Not only do we need to implement climate approaches that benefit women as well as men, we need to increase women's resilience if we are going to effectively address and mitigate climate impacts. If we don't, we will be on track to miss the 2-degree target – and at same time increase gender inequality worldwide.

A recent review of literature and regional case studies with researchers from four regions identified four critical dimensions of gender in/equality in climate-resilient agriculture. They are: (1) participation in decision-making at all levels, (2) work burden, (3) access to and use of productive resources such as agroclimatic information, technology, livelihood incomes, and credit, and (4) collective action to address and mitigate climate impacts [3,11-13].

For example, women in climate-smart villages (CSVs) in India began to make decisions about the use of income from the adoption of climate-smart agriculture² as well as on farming practices and their children's education [14]. Additionally, in many regions, women have less access to family labor and even basic agricultural and energy technologies [15]. Agricultural technologies and practices for climate adaptation that reduce workloads can increase production [16], reduce negative impacts on health [17], and allow women more time for other activities such as education or developing livelihoods [18]. This is critical since climate impacts such as drought in combination with deforestation are expected to significantly increase women's workload in rural areas [3]. Information and capacity-building to cope with and manage climate risk and variability, while important for both women's and men's capacity to adapt, can also promote women's participation in household decision-making and increase their agricultural production [19-21]. Finally, women's group organizing, and collective action can engender capacity-building tailored to women's needs and constraints and serve as platforms for women to exercise agency in implementing climate adaptation strategies.

The combination of one or more of these dimensions can promote significant momentum towards gender equality. A collective action and technology training approach, implemented by the CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS) and partners in the climate-smart village of Daga-Birame in Senegal, promoted women's resilience by increasing agricultural production and promoting natural resource management. Women and men participated equally on a community environment committee and a women-run microenterprise was established to process and sell fruit powder made from local baobab trees. Marketing and income management were carried out exclusively through the women-run enterprise, with a village savings pool set up to invest in community resilience activities. Overall, women's control over forest resources and participation in community decision making also increased [22].

In Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, and Bihar states of India a project to improve women farmers' adaptive capacity included women-led organizing, capacity-building, CSA technologies and practices, and climate information services. The representation of SHGs and other women-led groups in management and implementation was a strategic priority, as was strengthening women's agency and voice. Local women's self-help groups (SHGs) participated on Village Climate Management Committees to manage and implement CSA; while women-led Custom-Hiring Centers (CHCs) for renting out climate-smart technologies to farmers at affordable rates were also set up. The CHCs in all three project districts were women-led, to promote economic independence and group-based agency. Through information and communication technology (ICT)-based agro-advisory and weather/climate information services, women farmers became aware of new practices, even implementing some of them. They also participated equally - or in some cases more than men - in capacity-building events, technology prioritization, and community consultation, ensuring attention to their production preferences. Focus group discussions revealed that several technologies reduced women's work in the labor-intensive activities of weeding (cono-weeder), water management (solar pumps), and harvesting (harvesting machine) [23].

In this case, collective action became a platform for gender equality, agency and resilience. Women gained better access to information; their production increased; their workloads have decreased; and they played a leading role in community decision-making. They no longer relied solely on their social networks for information, and regularly accessed ICT advisories for information on weather, markets, crop cultivation practices, and technology use. The percentage of household income generated from rice and wheat production increased from 44 per cent to 50 per cent [11].

¹According to the IPCC, global warming is likely to reach 1.5 °C if not beyond (2.0 °C) between 2030 and 2052. This is expected to increase the risk of heatwaves, heavy rainfall events, crop productivity decline, reduction in water availability, undernutrition, habitat losses and others [10], and the effects get significantly worse at 2 °C. The world has already witnessed about 1 °C of temperature rise and is on track to exhaust the carbon budget for 1.5 °C by 2030. Some projections put the world on track for 4 °C of warming.

²Climate-smart agriculture is an approach to help farmers adjust to climate change and manage climate risk by implementing strategies to increase sustainable productivition; increase resilience of farming systems; and reduce greenhouse gas emissions [2]. CSVs act as a platform for action research to test, develop, and support the scaling of CSA technologies and approaches, and develop practical adaptation options for food security, resilience, and decreased carbon emissions [10].

We need to build on and learn from these and other experiences. Climate change has different effects on women and men farmers, in relation to their access to resources and income, stemming from gender norms around decision-making in different cultural contexts, and as a result of male outmigration for employment. Climate adaptation and mitigation strategies can perpetuate or, at worst, exacerbate gender inequalities when implemented in a gender-neutral approach by, for example, diminishing or diverting the resources to which women have access. These models for action show that gender-responsive approaches can promote equality while increasing resilience for all.

Acknowledgement

This work was implemented as part of the CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), which is carried out with support from the CGIAR Trust Fund and through bilateral funding agreements. For details, please visit https://ccafs.cgiar.org/donors.

Conflict of Interest

The views expressed in this document cannot be taken to reflect the official opinions of these organizations.

References

- Adger WN, JM Pulhin, J Barnett, GD Dabelko, GK Hovelsrud, et al. (2014) Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York, 755-791.
- Girvetz E, C Corner-Dolloff, C Lamanna, T Rosenstock (2017) 'CSA-Plan': Strategies to Put Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA) into Practice. Agriculture for Development 30: 12-16.
- Dankelman I (2010) Introduction: Exploring Gender, Environment, and Climate Change. In I. Dankelman (Editor), Gender and Climate Change: An Introduction. Routledge, London, 1-20.
- Lane R, R McNaught (2009) Building Gendered Approaches to Adaptation in the Pacific. Gend Dev 17(1): 67-80.
- Chanamuto NJC, SJG Hall (2015) Gender Equality, Resilience to Climate Change, and the Design of Livestock Projects for Rural Livelihoods. Gend Dev 23(3): 515-530.
- Arora-Jonsson S (2011) Virtue and Vulnerability: Discourses on Women, Gender and Climate Change. Global Environ Chang 21(2): 744-751.
- Resurreccion BP (2011) The Gender and Climate Debate: More of the Same or New Pathways of Thinking and Doing? Asia Security Initiative Policy Series Working Paper 10, NTS, Singapore.
- Ulrichs M, Cannon T, Newsham A, Naess LO (2015) Climate Change & Food Security Vulnerability Assessment (Working Paper No. 108).
 CGIAR Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security Programme, Copenhagen, Denmark.

- Perez C, E Jones, P Kristjanson, L Cramer, P Thornton, et al. (2015) How Resilient Are Farming Households and Communities to a Changing Climate in Africa? A Gender-Based Perspective. Global Environ Chang 34: 95-107.
- Aggarwal PK, Jarvis A, Campbell BM, Zougmoré RB, Khatri-chhetri A, et al. (2018) The climate-smart village approach: framework of an integrative strategy. Ecol Soc 23(1): 14.
- 11. Huyer S, Gumucio T, Tavenner K, Acosta M, Chanana N, et al. (2020) From vulnerability to agency: gender equality in climate adaptation and mitigation. In R Pyburn & A Van Eerdewijk (Eds.), Advancing gender equality through agricultural and environmental research: past, present and future. IFPRI, Washington, DC.
- 12. Kabeer N (1999) Resources, Agency, Achievements: Reflections on the Measurement of Women's Empowerment. Dev Change 30(3): 435-464.
- 13. CCAFS, FAO (2013) Gender and Climate Change Research in Agriculture and Food Security for Rural Development (Second ed). FAO, Rome.
- 14. Hariharan VK, S Mittal, M Rai, T Agarwal, KC Kalvaniya, et al. (2020) Does the Climate-Smart Village Approach Influence Gender Equality in Farming Households? A Case of Two Contrasting Ecologies in India. Clim Change 158(1): 77-90.
- 15. Murray U, Gebremedhin Z, Brychkova G, Spillane C (2016) Smallholder farmer and climate smart agriculture: Technology and labourproductivity constraints among women smallholders in Malawi. Gend Technol Dev 20(2): 117-148.
- 16. Khatri-Chhetri A, PP Regmi, N Chanana, PK Aggarwal (2020) Potential of Climate-Smart Agriculture in Reducing Women Farmers' Drudgery in High Climatic Risk Areas. Clim Change 158(1): 29-42.
- 17. Beuchelt TD, L Badstue (2013) Gender, Nutrition- and Climate-Smart Food Production: Opportunities and Trade-Offs. Food Secur 5(5): 709-721.
- Bryceson DF (2019) Gender and generational patterns of African deagrarianization: Evolving labour and land allocation in smallholder peasant household farming, 1980-2015. World Development 113: 60-72.
- Rengalakshmi R, M Manjula, M Devaraj (2018) Making Climate Information Gender Sensitive: Lessons from Tamil Nadu. Econ. Political Wkly 53(17): 87-95.
- 20. Huyer S (2019) ICT in a Changing Climate: A Path to Gender-Transformative Food Security. In A Sey, N Hafkin (Editors), Taking Stock: Data and Evidence on Gender Digital Equality in Digital Access, Skills and Leadership. United Nations University Institute on Computing and Society/International Telecommunications Union, Macao, 262-273.
- Mittal S (2016) Role of Mobile Phone-Enabled Climate Information Services in Gender-Inclusive Agriculture. Gend Technol Dev 20(2): 200-217.
- 22. Ouédraogo M, Partey ST, Zougmoré RB, Derigubah M, Sanogo D, et al. (2018) Mainstreaming gender and social differentiation into CCAFS research activities in West Africa: lessons learned and perspectives. CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), Wageningen, the Netherlands.
- 23. Chanana N, Khatri-chhetri A, Pande K, Joshi R (2018) Integrating gender into the climate-smart village approach of scaling out adaptation options in agriculture. CCAFS Info Note. CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), Wageningen, the Netherlands.